

Catheter ablation lesion visualization with intracardiac strain imaging in canines and humans

Vincent Sayseng, Julien Grondin, Vasant Salgaonkar, Christopher S. Grubb, Maryam Basij, Mohammad Mehrmohammadi, Vivek Iyer, Daniel Wang, Hasan Garan, Elaine Y. Wan, Elisa Konofagou

Abstract—Catheter ablation is a common treatment for arrhythmia, but can fail if lesion lines are non-contiguous. Identification of gaps and non-transmural lesions can reduce the likelihood of treatment failure and recurrent arrhythmia. Intracardiac myocardial elastograph is a strain imaging technique that provides visualization of the lesion line. Lesion size estimation and gap resolution was evaluated in an open chest canine model ($n=3$), and clinical feasibility was investigated in patients undergoing ablation to treat typical cavotricuspid isthmus atrial flutter ($n=5$). A lesion line consisting of three lesions and two gaps was generated in each canine left ventricle via epicardial ablation. One lesion was generated in one canine right ventricle. Average lesion and gap areas were measured with high agreement ($33 \pm 14 \text{ mm}^2$ and $30 \pm 15 \text{ mm}^2$, respectively) when compared against gross pathology ($34 \pm 19 \text{ mm}^2$ and $26 \pm 11 \text{ mm}^2$, respectively). Gaps as small as 11 mm^2 (3.6 mm on epicardial surface) were identifiable. Absolute error and relative error in estimated lesion area were $9.3 \pm 8.4 \text{ mm}^2$ and $31 \pm 34 \%$; error in estimated gap area was $11 \pm 9.0 \text{ mm}^2$ and $40 \pm 29 \%$. Flutter patients were imaged throughout the procedure. Strain was shown to be capable of differentiating between baseline and after ablation completion as confirmed by conduction block. In all patients, strain decreased in the cavotricuspid isthmus after ablation (mean paired difference of $-17 \pm 11 \%$, $p < 0.05$). IME could potentially become a useful ablation monitoring tool in the clinic.

Index Terms—ablation, electrophysiology, lesion monitoring, strain imaging, intracardiac echocardiography.

I. INTRODUCTION

CATHETER ablation is one of the most effective treatments for atrial and ventricular arrhythmias [1]–[3]. An ablation catheter deposits energy in specific areas of the heart to form a barrier of non-conductive tissue that interrupts the electrical circuit responsible for the arrhythmia. The lesion line must be contiguous; gaps between lesions or non-transmural lesions can serve as a pathway of conductive tissue through which the arrhythmia circuit can recover, leading to treatment failure [4]–

[7].

Currently, lesion geometry and location is estimated by using surrogate parameters (e.g. force-time integral or FTI, and contact force) that have been found to correlate with lesion size, in conjunction with an electroanatomic mapping system [8]–[10]. These parameters are indirect measurements of lesion formation, which limits their accuracy. Furthermore, the inherent variance in atrial volume, tissue deformation from application of the ablation catheter to the endocardial surface, and unexpected procedural complications can cause significant errors in the integration of the electroanatomic map with the CT and MRI images, leading to inaccurate lesion localization [11], [12]. Given that the single-procedure success of catheter ablation of persistent atrial fibrillation is estimated to be as low as 25% [13], there is an urgent need for an ablation monitoring technique capable of accurately and robustly depicting myocardial anatomy and lesion formation that can easily be integrated into the clinical routine.

Ultrasound-based lesion mapping methods are a promising means of obtaining real-time feedback during ablations. Unlike MRI-based lesion mapping techniques—which would require extensive adjustments in the current procedure workflow due to the required additional hardware and technicians—ultrasound (particularly intracardiac echocardiography or ICE) is already a common imaging modality during ablations [12], [14]. A novel ablation catheter with near field ultrasound imaging capabilities has been shown capable of providing realtime feedback about lesion formation [15]. While an improvement over the current state-of-the art catheters which relay contact force or FTI, the catheter cannot provide information about the position of lesions relative to one another. Photoacoustic imaging of ablation has been investigated, but thus far reports have been limited to benchtop experiments with ex-vivo tissue [16]–[19]. Elastography-based methods employing shear waves or acoustic radiation force impulse (ARFI) to identify lesions based on its mechanical moduli are an area of active research.

This work was supported in part by the National Institutes of Health (R01-EB006042 and R01-HL140646) and Biosense Webster.

V. Sayseng and E. Konofagou are with the Department of Biomedical Engineering, Columbia University, New York, NY.

J. Grondin and E. Konofagou are with the Department of Radiology, Columbia University, New York, NY.

V. Salgaonkar is with the Siemens Healthineers, Mountain View, California.

C. S. Grubb, V. Iyer, D. Wang, H. Garan, and E. Wan are with the Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons, Columbia University, New York, NY.

M. Basij and M. Mehrmohammadi are with the Department of Biomedical Engineering, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI.

76 Shear wave approaches have yet to investigate lesion gap
77 resolution [20], [21], and ARFI has stringent requirements
78 regarding the imaging configuration in terms of depth and
79 catheter orientation relative the myocardial wall [22].

80 Intracardiac Myocardial Elastography (IME) is a strain-
81 based lesion mapping technique developed by our group. An
82 ICE catheter employs a high-frame rate acquisition sequence to
83 estimate strain in the atrial or ventricular walls. IME has
84 minimal hardware requirements, and theoretically can be
85 programmed onto any ultrasound system capable of high-frame
86 rate acquisitions. Grondin et al demonstrated feasibility by
87 capturing strain images in the canine LA, and by observing that
88 ablation lead to reduced local strain in the ablated region of the
89 human atria in atrial fibrillation patients [23]. Bunting et al used
90 IME in the canine LV to detect lesion gaps of 15 mm and 45
91 mm *in vivo* [24].

92 This paper presents the next stage of development in the
93 IME. Its objectives are twofold: 1) investigate lesion area and
94 lesion gap resolution in an open-chest canine model, and 2)
95 explore the potential diagnostic utility of IME strain in a clinical
96 feasibility study. Lesion gap resolution was investigated by
97 creating lesion lines comprised of three epicardial lesions and
98 two gaps in three canine left ventricles (LV). In patients, IME
99 was used to monitor ablation in the cavotricuspid isthmus (CTI)
100 throughout an atrial flutter procedure in five subjects.
101

102 II. METHODS

103 A. Animal model experimental protocol

104 Due to its cellular, functional, and physiological similarities
105 with the human heart, the canine heart is one of the most
106 popular large animal models in cardiac research [25].
107 Furthermore, since mongrel canines are genetically diverse,
108 they are close representations to the non-homogenous genetic
109 background of humans [26].

110 The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Animal
111 Care and Use Committee (IACUC) at Columbia University, and
112 was compliant with the Public Health Service Policy on
113 Humane Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. Lateral
114 thoracotomy was performed on anesthetized mongrel canines
115 ($n = 3$, 100% male, 26 ± 2.1 kg) to expose the myocardium for
116 epicardial ablation. The intracardiac ultrasound catheter (Carto
117 Soundstar, Biosense Webster, Irvine, CA, USA) was introduced
118 via the external jugular vein and advanced through the superior
119 vena cava. Positioning the probe in the right ventricle (RV)
120 provided images of the anterior and antero-lateral segments of
121 the left ventricle (LV). Imaging of the RV was performed by
122 positioning the probe in the LV via apical puncture.

123 Epicardial lesions were created in the LV and RV by catheter
124 ablation (Carto 3 System, Biosense Webster, Irvine, CA, USA).
125 In the LV, a lesion line consisting of three lesions with two gaps
126 were generated in three animals. In the RV, one lesion was
127 created in one animal. Images were acquired prior to and after
128 each lesion (SoundStar 10 F Catheter, Biosense Webster,
129 Irvine, CA, USA). For the LV lesion lines, images were

131 acquired at baseline, and then after each lesion for a total of four
132 time points. For the RV lesion, images were acquired before
133 and after the ablation.

134 Lesions were imaged with one of two ultrasound platforms
135 (the same catheter, Soundstar, was employed). Two canines
136 (two LV lesions lines and one RV lesion) were imaged with an
137 Acuson SC2000 in research mode (Siemens, Munich, Germany).
138 The transmit sequence consisted of 24 steered plane
139 waves (virtual source located >300 mm behind the transducer)
140 at a frame rate of 200-250 fps and depth of 80 mm. The angular
141 aperture of the field-of-view was 70° . One canine (one LV
142 lesion line) was imaged with a Verasonics Vantage (WA, USA)
143 and a modified Acuson Swiftlink Connector
144 (TransducerWorks, PA, USA). A high-frame rate compounded
145 sequence was employed (15 virtual sources, focus located 21
146 mm behind the transducer, 460 fps, depth 80 mm, angular
147 aperture 90°) [27].

148 At the conclusion of the procedure, the myocardium was
149 excised. The lesion line was segmented and placed in the
150 freezer (-18° C) for 40-60 minutes. The sample was sliced
151 transmurally along the axis of the lesion line. Sections were
152 submerged in 1% tetrazolium chloride (TTC) and placed in an
153 incubator (37°) for at least 40 minutes. TTC stained the lesions
154 white. Photos of the sections with scale bar for reference were
155 obtained (Nikon EOS Rebel T3i, Tokyo, Japan).
156

157 B. Human experimental protocol

158 The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review
159 Board (IRB) of Columbia University. Patients were informed
160 of the study's risk prior to obtaining consent. The transmit
161 sequence complied with the U.S. Food and Drug
162 Administration (FDA) limits on acoustic output.

163 Patients with typical cavotricuspid isthmus atrial flutter ($n =$
164 5 , men = 60%, age = 67 ± 16 years old) undergoing RF ablation
165 of the cavotricuspid isthmus of the right atrium (RA) were
166 imaged with an ICE clinical machine in research mode
167 (ViewMate ICE Catheter and Viewmate Z, Abbott, Chicago,
168 IL). The ICE catheter was positioned in the RA. A custom
169 transmit sequence was implemented: 1.5s of conventionally
170 focused imaging at 30 fps, followed by 1 s of a single diverging
171 wave sequence (-6.5 mm virtual source, 600 fps frame rate).
172 The latter acquisition was used to estimate myocardial
173 displacement and strain; the former was used to provide a B-
174 mode reference frame of end-systole over which to overlay the
175 strain. The ICE ultrasound field-of-view was set to the CTI
176 region proximal to the tricuspid valve. Images were acquired
177 prior, during, and after the CTI ablation procedure. The
178 ultrasound view was updated throughout the procedure to
179 ensure that the ablation catheter was in view before, during, and
180 after each lesion. The ablation procedure was considered
181 complete once achievement of block was confirmed via
182 coronary sinus pacing.
183

C. Intracardiac Myocardial Elastography (IME) imaging

Three different ultrasound platforms were used in this study: Siemens Acuson, Verasonics Vantage, and Abbott Viewmate Z. Each hardware platform possessed different strengths. The Acuson provides a means to coregister the lesion line and the ICE view through the CARTOSOUND software (Carto 3 System, Biosense Webster, Irvine, CA, USA), which can mark the position of the ablation catheter in real time when it is in plane with the ICE catheter [28]. The Vantage's open programmability allows for the implementation of optimal high frame rate strategies. The Viewmate Z is a clinically available FDA-approved scanner electrophysiologists have experience operating. Beamforming, displacement estimation, and strain estimation parameters slightly differed between the three platforms.

Beamforming was performed internally with the Acuson. Raw RF data obtained with the Vantage and Viewmate Z was beamformed by delay-and-sum [27].

For data obtained with the Viewmate Z and Vantage, axial displacements were calculated from beamformed RF signals using a 1-D normalized cross-correlation kernel [29]. Due to its lower achievable acquisition frame rate, axial displacements were estimated from the beamformed envelope (as opposed to RF) signals on data obtained from the Acuson. For the canine imaging protocol, the displacements observed in the LV and RV were accumulated throughout LV systole, and RV systole, respectively. In the human protocol, the displacements were accumulated during atrial filling, a segment of the cardiac cycle during which the CTI lengthens. Different phrases of the cardiac cycle were imaged in the animal and human models to preserve the directionality of the strain being observed, i.e. positive strain was estimated in both. Axial strains were derived from cumulative axial displacements with a 1-D least-squares estimator (LSQSE) [30]. Strain images were smoothed using a 2-D median filter. The specific processing parameters used with each hardware platform—displacement kernel, strain (LSQSE) kernel, and median filter kernel—are summarized in a table in the Appendix (Table A.1).

D. Canine study – image analysis and statistics

Canine strain images were validated against gross pathology. The images of the TTC-stained, excised lesion line were converted to grayscale, scaled, aligned with ICE, and overlaid onto the B-mode image based on anatomical landmarks (Fig. 1). TTC stains the lesions white; in grayscale, the lesions are brighter compared to non-ablated myocardium. The lesions were masked by a combination of brightness thresholding and manual segmentation (Fig. 1).




Fig. 1. Coregistration procedure to validate IME lesion maps with gross pathology in canines. The lesion line was excised from the myocardium after sacrifice and sliced transversally along the axis of the lesion line to provide a cross-sectional view of the lesion and gap area (A). Using anatomical markers (e.g. papillary muscles and epicardial surface), the intensity image was manually rotated and translated (B) to coregister with the B-mode image (C). Intensity thresholding and manual segmentation were employed to create a binary mask that indicated the lesion area by gross pathology (indicated in light blue) (D).

Due to translation and deformation of the myocardium during the cardiac cycle, the lesion line may move out of the field-of-view at certain time points during systole. This drop-out is evident upon examination of the strain movie through the entirety of systole. Thus, the number of displacement frames accumulated varied for each acquisition. The strain magnitude is dependent on the number of displacement frames accumulated. The strain image dynamic range was adjusted accordingly in order to maintain high image contrast between unablated and ablated tissue. The upper bound of the dynamic range (DR_{upper}) was empirically chosen to be half of the median strain at baseline at the number of frames accumulated:

$$DR_{upper} = \frac{\text{median}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{baseline}(n))}{2} \quad (1)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{baseline}(n)$ is the masked strain values in the n^{th} accumulated frame at baseline. The lower bound of the dynamic range was set to 0%.

Lesion area as estimated by IME was calculated as follows:

$$A_{strain}(mm) = A_{pixel} * \sum_{i=1}^N \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \varepsilon(i) \geq \varepsilon_{thresh} \\ 1 & \text{if } \varepsilon(i) < \varepsilon_{thresh} \end{cases}, \quad (2)$$

where $\varepsilon(i)$ represents strain at a given pixel i within a masked region consisting of N pixels, ε_{thresh} is the strain threshold, and A_{pixel} is the area of each pixel in mm. Masks were manually delineated to isolate lesions ($n=10$) and gaps ($n=6$). The boundary of the gap masks was set by a vector spanning the apex of the two lesions, at the points closest to the endocardial wall. The other borders consisted of the lesion perimeters and

271 the epicardial wall. The absolute (δA) and relative difference
 272 between A_{strain} and the areas reported by gross pathology
 273 (A_{gross}) were calculated, given

$$A_{gross}(mm) = A_{pixel} * \sum_{i=1}^N \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } pixel(i) \geq pixel_{thresh} \\ 1 & \text{if } pixel(i) < pixel_{thresh} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

275
 276 The brightness threshold $pixel_{thresh}$ was determined
 277 empirically based on qualitative assessment of the gross
 278 pathology images, and A_{pixel} is the area of each pixel in mm.
 279 Manual segmentation was performed to isolate individual
 280 lesion areas.

281 The threshold under which a point would be considered a
 282 lesion, ϵ_{thresh} , was empirically derived. The Dice similarity
 283 coefficient (DSC) ranges from 0 to 1, and measures the
 284 similarity between two binary sets. A DSC of 1 indicates that
 285 the binary masks are identical, while a score of 0 indicates that
 286 there is no intersection between the positive values in the binary
 287 masks. The DSC has frequently been employed in medical
 288 imaging analysis (e.g. to compare manual segmentation against
 289 an automated method) [31], [32]. In terms of true positives
 290 (TP), false positives (FP), and false negatives (FN), the DSC
 291 between two binary sets A and B is given by,

$$dice(A, B) = \frac{2TP}{2TP+FP+FN} \quad (4)$$

292
 293 In this study, TP corresponds to tissue that was ablated and
 294 correctly identified as such by IME, FP corresponds to regions
 295 of unablated tissue that was incorrectly identified as ablated,
 296 and FN corresponds to ablated tissue that was incorrectly
 297 identified as unablated.

298 The DSC of the lesion maps produced by strain imaging and
 299 gross pathology (the ground truth) were compared for a range
 300 of ϵ_{thresh} , defined as,

$$\epsilon_{thresh} = \alpha * median(\epsilon_{baseline}(n)), \quad (5)$$

301
 302 with α evaluated within the range of [0, 1.5]. The α value
 303 that yields the optimal ϵ_{thresh} was determined by calculating
 304 the mean DSC curve across the four lesion lines. The α value
 305 corresponding to the maximum of the mean DSC was chosen to
 306 calculate ϵ_{thresh} in the canine model.

310 E. Human study – image analysis and statistics

311 The CTI was manually segmented. Median axial strain was
 312 calculated within the CTI for the five patients. Median strain
 313 (ϵ_{median}) at the CTI at baseline and at the conclusion of the
 314 procedure (once block was achieved) was statistically
 315 compared via the Student’s paired t test. In contrast to the
 316 canine protocol, no thresholding was performed to isolate
 317 individual lesions, and the dynamic range was set to [-40%,
 318 40%] for all cases.

319

320

III. RESULTS

321

322 A. Open chest canine ablation

323 ME was capable of accurately capturing the formation of the
 324 LV lesion line throughout the ablation procedure (Fig. 2). At
 325 baseline, LV strain was homogenously positive and high
 326 magnitude ($\epsilon \geq DR_{upper}$) throughout (Fig. 2A). In contrast,
 327 lesions manifested as regions of low strain ($\epsilon < \frac{DR_{upper}}{2}$). The
 328 strain images demonstrate the progression of lesion line
 329 formation, lesion-by-lesion (Fig. 2b-d). At the conclusion of the
 330 ablation experiment, three distinct lesions and two distinct gaps
 331 are visible (Fig. 2d).

332 The contours of the lesion areas as indicated by the TTC-
 333 stained tissue sections (shown in green) were overlaid onto the
 334 post-ablation strain-based lesion maps (Fig. 3). Qualitatively,
 335 there is good agreement between the lesions detected by ME
 336 and the gross pathology. In each of the three LV lesion lines,
 337 ME correctly identified three lesions and two gaps. The singular
 338 lesion in the RV was also correctly identified.

339 Calculating the DSC across a range of α values (Eq. 3)
 340 yielded the plot summarized in Fig. 4. The maximum of the
 341 mean DSC curve was found at $\alpha = 0.27$, wherein a DSC value
 342 of 0.62 was found.

343 The lesion and gap areas as determined by IME versus gross
 344 pathology are summarized in Fig. 5. The lesion areas are
 345 designated in turquoise, with the gaps indicated in yellow.
 346 Qualitatively, the thresholded strain lesion maps compare well
 347 against the gross pathology. The lesion areas found by strain
 348 and gross pathology are summarized and compared in Table I.
 349 Gap area assessment is summarized in Table II.

350 By gross pathology, the lesion and gap areas (A_{gross})
 351 measured $34 \pm 19 \text{ mm}^2$ and $26 \pm 11 \text{ mm}^2$ on average,
 352 respectively. By IME strain imaging, the lesion areas and gaps
 353 (A_{strain}) were estimated to be $33 \pm 14 \text{ mm}^2$ and $30 \pm 15 \text{ mm}^2$
 354 on average, respectively.

355 The individual difference in lesion area between strain and
 356 gross pathology (δA) ranged $0.82\text{-}24 \text{ mm}^2$, with a mean
 357 difference of $9.3 \pm 8.4 \text{ mm}^2$. In terms of relative error, the
 358 difference ranged 3.9 - 120 %, with a mean relative difference
 359 of $31 \pm 34 \%$.

360 The individual difference in gap areas between strain and
 361 gross pathology ranged $0.63 - 23 \text{ mm}^2$, with a mean difference
 362 of $11 \pm 9.0 \text{ mm}^2$. In relative error, the difference ranged 5.5 - 78
 363 %, with a mean relative difference of $40 \pm 29 \%$.


364

365 B. Atrial flutter CTI ablation

366 Lesion mapping in a patient receiving CTI ablation to relieve
 367 atrial flutter is demonstrated in Fig. 6. Images were acquired at
 368 baseline (Fig. 6A), during (Fig. 6B), and after (Fig. 6C) CTI
 369 ablation. The lesion line was initiated proximal to the tricuspid
 370 valve, and progressed towards the direction distal the tricuspid
 371 valve during the ablation. At baseline, the CTI exhibits
 372 homogenously positive, high-magnitude strain (i.e. strain
 373 greater than about 20%) (Fig. 6a). During the procedure, strain


374 in the region proximal the tricuspid valve is low magnitude (i.e.
 375 strain less than about 20%), while the region distal the valve
 376 (thus far in the procedure unablated) still possesses high-
 377 magnitude positive strain (Fig. 6b). Finally, the entire CTI is
 378 observed to have low-magnitude strain at the conclusion of the
 379 ablation procedure (Fig. 6c).

380 In all five patients imaged, ϵ_{median} in the CTI decreased after
 381 ablation compared to baseline. The mean paired difference in
 382 CTI strain was $-17 \pm 11 \%$. Employing a two-sided paired t-test,
 383 the difference was determined to be statistically significant ($p <$
 384 0.05).




385
 386 Fig. 2. Strain-based lesion maps obtained with ICE imaging. IME imaged the
 387 development of a lesion line generated in an open-chest canine via epicardial
 388 ablation. Unablated myocardium exhibits high-magnitude positive strain over
 389 systole, indicated in red. At baseline, prior to any ablations, high-magnitude
 390 strain is evident throughout the LV wall (A). Ablated tissue is stiff and non-
 391 contractile, manifesting as low-magnitude regions, indicated in blue. IME
 392 accurately tracked the ablation lesion-by-lesion: the first lesion is indicated in
 393 (B), followed by a second lesion and the first gap in (C), and finally all three
 394 lesions and both gaps in (D).

395
 396




397
 398 Fig. 3. Post-ablation IME lesion maps compared against gross pathology. Three
 399 lesion lines consisting of three lesions and two gaps were generated in the
 400 canine LV ($n=3$) (A-F); one lesion was generated in the canine RV ($n=1$) (G-
 401 H). The contour of the lesions area according to the gross pathology cross-
 402 section is outlined in green and overlaid onto the lesion maps. IME successfully
 403 identified all the lesions ($n=10$) and gaps ($n=6$) that were generated in the canine
 404 model.
 405



406
 407 Fig. 4. Dice similarity coefficient (DSC) for each lesion line. The metric
 408 measures the similarity between two binary images, with higher values
 409 indicating more similarity. The three lesion lines and one RV lesion were
 410 evaluated against gross pathology. A range of α values $[-0.5, 1.5]$ were
 411 evaluated to determine the optimal strain threshold, ϵ_{thresh} (Eq. 3). The
 412 maximum DSC of the mean Dice curve occurs at $\alpha = 0.27$.
 413

413




429
430
431

TABLE II
GAP AREA BY IME STRAIN AND GROSS PATHOLOGY IN THE CANINE

Gap	A_{gross} (mm ²)	A_{strain} (mm ²)	δA (mm ²)	Relative error (%)
Line 1, Gap AB	19	32	13	66
Line 1, Gap BC	11	11	0.63	5.5
Line 2, Gap AB	43	25	18	43
Line 2, Gap BC	25	22	3.2	13
Line 3, Gap AB	29	39	10	35
Line 3, Gap BB	30	53	23	78
Mean	26	30	11	40
STD	11	15	9.0	29

432
433
434



435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446

Fig. 6. Tracking ablation of the cavotricuspid isthmus (CTI) in the RA of a patient with atrial flutter. The catheter is outlined by a yellow dotted line. Unablated myocardium in the CTI exhibits high-magnitude (>20%) positive strain (indicated in red) over the atrial filling phase of the cardiac cycle. Ablated tissue manifests as comparatively low magnitude (<20%) or near-zero magnitude strain (indicated in pink or white). At baseline, the CTI is observed as healthy throughout (A). Lesions were first generated proximal to the tricuspid valve. There is a clear difference in strain magnitude between the ablated tissue proximal the valve and the unablated tissue distal the valve (B). At the end of the ablation procedure, the CTI is observed to have low-magnitude strain throughout (C).

414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423

Fig. 5. Thresholded strain-based lesion maps versus gross pathology. A_{strain} ($\alpha = 0.27$) for the lesion and gaps detected by IME were assessed against the ground truth areas according to gross pathology (A_{gross}) for LV lesion line 1 (A, B), LV lesion line 2 (C, D), LV lesion line 3 (E, F), and the RV lesion (G, H). Lesion areas are indicated in turquoise, with gap areas in yellow.

TABLE I
LESION AREA BY IME STRAIN AND GROSS PATHOLOGY IN THE CANINE

Lesion	A_{gross} (mm ²)	A_{strain} (mm ²)	δA (mm ²)	Relative error (%)
Line 1, Lesion A	28	15	13	46
Line 1, Lesion B	27	34	7.9	30
Line 1, Lesion C	18	23	4.8	26
Line 2, Lesion A	30	28	2.1	7.0
Line 2, Lesion B	20	45	24	120
Line 2, Lesion C	21	22	0.82	3.9
Line 3, Lesion A	29	28	1.1	3.9
Line 3, Lesion B	26	32	6.8	26
Line 3, Lesion C	65	42	23	35
RV, Lesion A	72	62	9.5	13
Mean	34	33	9.3	31
STD	19	14	8.4	34

424
425
426
427
428




Fig. 7. Median strain within the CTI decreases after ablation. Patients receiving ablation of the CTI to relieve atrial flutter were imaged with IME (n=5). Median strain decreased for all patients, with a statistically significant difference in the mean paired difference (paired Student's t-test, * < 0.05).

IV. DISCUSSION

The potential of IME for lesion and gap visualization and quantification with intracardiac echocardiography was investigated. Employing an open-chest canine model, IME was capable of resolving all ten lesions and all six lesion gaps generated in three LVs and one RV. IME was then used to track atrial flutter ablation in human subjects. There was a reduction in strain in the ablated region (the CTI) in all five patients after the ablation procedure.

In both the canine and clinical studies, lesions manifested as areas of low-magnitude or near-zero percent strain, as opposed to areas of negative strain. The mechanics behind non-compliant and compliant passive myocardial tissue dictates the directionality of the strain. Following acute ischemia, the affected region of the myocardium becomes passive [33]. During systole, the compliant ischemic tissue stretches, exhibiting negative strain. In RF catheter ablation, the mechanism is different. The lesion that is generated is non-compliant, and becomes stiffer compared to unablated tissue [34]. Since non-compliant, stiff tissue does not deform easily, 0% strain is expected over both systole (as shown in the canine model) and diastole (as shown in the patient model).

The strain magnitude within the ablated area was significantly reduced compared to unablated tissue in the canine. The dynamic range as defined in Eqn. 1 was chosen to increase the visual contrast between scar and unablated tissue; healthy tissue manifests as regions of high magnitude positive strain (in red) while scarred tissue manifests as regions of low magnitude strain (in blue) (Fig. 2). These low-magnitude strain regions were indicative of non-contractile scar tissue, verified by overlaying the ground truth lesion contours as defined by gross pathology (Fig. 3). Qualitatively, there was excellent agreement between the IME lesion maps and the gross pathology: IME correctly represented the three lesions in each canine LV as a non-contiguous linear line (Fig. 3A-F).

Thresholding was employed to allow for quantitative

comparison of lesion and gap area between strain imaging and gross pathology (Tables I and II). The strain threshold under which a region of tissue should be classified as scar was chosen based on the peak mean Dice curve (Fig. 4). Thresholding can also be a useful tool for visualization, simplifying interpretation of the strain images. The optimal hard threshold will likely be variable among patients, imaging conditions, and the heart chamber being imaged. However, given that lesions are stiff and non-compliant, it can be hypothesized that lesion strain magnitude should always be close to zero. Future clinical studies should be conducted to determine the degree of variation in the strain threshold between patients. If the variability is small, a general threshold may be implemented instead of adjusting the value for each patient.

Gap resolution was improved compared to previous implementations of IME. In earlier work by our group, the smallest detectable gap measured from lesion edges at the epicardial level was 15 mm [24]. This study demonstrated that IME was capable of resolving gaps as small as 11 mm², or 3.6 mm measured edge-to-edge at the epicardial level (Table II, Line 1 Gap BC, Fig. 5A-B). The improved lesion mapping is due to the implementation of superior high frame-rate transmit strategies. Instead of a single-diverging wave sequence, a 15-source compounding (Verasonics) and 23-source composite plane wave sequence (Siemens) were applied in the canine model. Increased gap resolution improvement is likely attributable to improved SNR and lateral resolution over single-source diverging wave imaging [35], [36].

Great care was taken to best align the lesion line and ultrasound plane in the canine model. In conjunction with SOUNDSTAR, the Acuson was able to graphically mark the location of the ablation catheter when it was in-plane. In the acquisitions taken with the Vantage, each potential location was manually palpated prior to ablation; if the location was in-plane, the resulting tissue deformation would be evident in the B-mode. Nonetheless, an inherent source of error in the canine study design is that a 2-D strain image of a live tissue target featuring 3-D translation and deformation was compared against a 2-D section of gross pathology. A lower degree of agreement in the lesion and gap areas are attributable to the imperfect coregistration of the two 2-D representations of the lesion line. This imperfect coregistration likely inflated the error of the lesion and gap area estimation— $31 \pm 34\%$ and $40 \pm 29\%$, respectively (Table I and II)—and led to a relatively low maximum DSC of 0.62. In future large animal studies, the addition of Gadolinium-enhanced Magnetic Resonance Imaging could mitigate this source of error [37]. The combination of MRI 3-D visualization of lesion line and gross pathology would allow for more reliable assessment of lesion and gap area accuracy, and provide insight on how much error is attributable to poor coregistration versus the resolution limitations of IME.

The feasibility of IME in intracardiac echocardiography as a useful diagnostic tool was investigated via a pilot study comparing the strain in the CTI before and after atrial flutter ablation. Strain was calculated during atrial filling of the RV, during which the CTI exhibits extension (i.e. stretches). Scar

548 tissue is stiffer and less compliant [38]; ablated regions of the
549 atrial wall exhibit significantly reduced extension during atrial
550 filling, manifesting as regions of low-magnitude strain (<20%)
551 in IME lesion maps. The progression of a CTI ablation is
552 summarized in Fig. 6. The ablation catheter begins proximal the
553 valve and progresses along the CTI in the direction distal the
554 valve, the location of previous lesions clearly indicated by the
555 strain drop compared to the baseline. IME was able to
556 differentiate between the CTI before and after ablation (mean
557 $\Delta\varepsilon = -17 \pm 11\%$), with every patient recording a decrease in
558 ε_{median} at the end of the procedure (Fig. 7).

559 The clinical pilot study demonstrated that IME could be
560 integrated into the current ablation workflow with minimal
561 adaptations, especially since the use of ICE is standard of care
562 in many cardiac ablation procedures. Many of the proposed
563 approaches to imaging the lesion line require additional
564 hardware, such as MRI [12], [14], or an additional probe to
565 induce a push beam [21], [38], [39]. In contrast, IME was
566 integrated into an ICE platform that was already being used in
567 ablation procedures at the EP clinic. Furthermore, IME can
568 provide a large and deep field-of-view of the lesion line in
569 contrast to photoacoustic [16]–[18] or ARFI [38], [39] methods,
570 allowing for quicker assessment of an ablation procedure’s
571 progress.

572 In contrast to the canine study, the IME was unable to
573 observe the development of the lesion line lesion-by-lesion.
574 Due to constraints on the programmability of the Abbott ICE
575 platform’s research mode, a single diverging wave transmit
576 sequence was employed, as opposed to the compounding or
577 composite plane wave sequences used in the canine protocol.
578 The reduced imaging SNR, as well as the lower thickness of the
579 human RA compared to the canine LV and RV, lead to poorer
580 strain resolution and less precise lesion maps.

581 As stated in the Methods section, the displacement estimation
582 algorithm employed depended on the hardware platform that
583 was used to collect the acquisitions. Similarly to previous
584 iterations of IME [23], [24], displacement estimation was
585 performed using 1-D cross-correlation on the RF data derived
586 from the Verasonics Vantage and Abbott Viewmate Z. In
587 contrast, 1-D cross-correlation was performed on the *envelope*
588 of the RF signal on data derived from the Siemens Acuson
589 system. RF-based motion estimators are generally considered
590 more accurate than envelope-based estimators at high frame
591 rates, since the former contains phase information [40]–[42].
592 However, RF-based estimators can perform poorly if the
593 acquisition frame rate is too low, or a large window size is
594 employed, due to decorrelation from false peak or jitter errors
595 [41], [43]. The Siemens Acuson transmit sequence frame rate
596 used herein (≤ 250 Hz) was substantially lower than that of the
597 Verasonics (460 Hz) or Abbott (600 Hz) systems. Envelope-
598 based displacement estimation was necessary as limitations in
599 the programmability of the Acuson prevented imaging at higher
600 frame rates. Preliminary analysis confirmed that the envelope-
601 based estimator would be preferred for the Siemens data, given
602 the frame rate limitations. While displacement estimation on RF
603 versus beamformed envelope signals is comparable in the
604 canine study, the latter approach is less optimal because it

605 requires a larger displacement window size (Table A.1). When
606 imaging thin tissue such as the atria, a large displacement kernel
607 precludes high resolution imaging. Finally, 1-D cross-
608 correlation of the envelope signal was performed instead of
609 using a 2-D kernel, which is more common [41], [44]. It was
610 hypothesized that a 1-D kernel would be more accurate due to
611 the edge mismatch between adjacent plane waves in the
612 transmit sequence, visible in the B-mode (Fig 1C, 1D, for
613 example). While this mismatch in the lateral direction would
614 lead to substantial decorrelation when employing a 2-D kernel,
615 this artifact is not relevant when using a uniaxial estimator.

616 There are some limitations to consider and future work that
617 must be performed before IME is integrated into the clinic. The
618 implementation of IME described here only estimated 1-D
619 (axial) motion. In both the canine and human study, ultrasound
620 views were chosen such that the predominate direction of
621 myocardial motion was in the axial direction. However, prior to
622 implementation in the clinic, integration of 2-D or 3-D motion
623 estimation is necessary to circumvent angle dependence in the
624 strain calculation.

625 IME requires that the ultrasound plane is well-aligned with
626 the lesion line. Due to the myocardial translation and rotation
627 inherent in the cardiac cycle, this can be a challenging demand
628 for the ICE operator. Furthermore, this study evaluated IME’s
629 performance in monitoring ablation of the CTI, a region of the
630 myocardium that is particularly amenable to ICE imaging.
631 Imaging regions of the myocardium that are frequently ablated,
632 such as the pulmonary veins in atrial fibrillation ablation, is
633 more challenging. A future clinical implementation of IME
634 could be integrated with an electroanatomic system. The
635 clinical model could be improved by using the Acuson platform
636 and SOUNDSTAR software, which is capable of visually
637 tagging the ablation catheter when it is view, leading to better
638 alignment with the lesion line. Linking IME to an
639 electroanatomic system could theoretically allow for the
640 generation of stain lesion maps that are registered to specific
641 positions in the myocardium, allowing for a pseudo-3D
642 visualization. Alternatively, a 3D ICE catheter could be
643 employed.

644 This study investigated gap resolution in the canine LV.
645 Clinically, ablation in the atria are more common than ablation
646 in the ventricles. Unfortunately, the smaller size of the canine
647 atrial chamber compared to the human equivalent complicated
648 navigation of the ICE catheter, and good coregistration of the
649 lesion line and ultrasound windows was unattainable.
650 Furthermore, lesions are typically generated on the endocardial
651 wall, as opposed to the epicardial wall as performed in the
652 canine protocol. Further studies are needed to investigate the
653 gap resolution of IME in thinner myocardial tissue and in
654 endocardial catheter ablation.

655 Although three different ultrasound platforms were used in
656 this study, determining the optimal hardware platform for IME
657 is outside the scope of this paper. We hypothesize that any
658 platform capable to achieving high frame rate imaging and
659 integration with electroanatomic mapping systems would
660 enable IME to be a diagnostic tool of high clinical value.

661

V. CONCLUSION

The potential of IME to visualize the lesion line and better inform ablation procedures was investigated. Gap resolution of IME lesion mapping was validated in an open-chest canine model that tracked epicardial ablations in the ventricles, with the smallest gap tested being 11 mm² (3.6 mm on epicardial surface). A clinical feasibility study was also performed to demonstrate the diagnostic utility of strain, and to show that IME could be integrated into ablation procedures with minimal modifications to the current workflow. Additional feasibility in animals and humans are warranted to prove that IME is a viable ablation monitoring approach for atrial arrhythmias.

VI. APPENDIX

TABLE A. I

ULTRASOUND PLATFORM PROCESSING PARAMETERS

Ultrasound platform	Probe center freq. (MHz)	Disp. kernel (mm)	Disp. kernel overlap (%)	Strain (LSQSE) kernel (mm)	2D median filter kernel (mm, °)
Verasonics Vantage	5.2	3.9	90	4.3	(2.1, 2.5)
Siemens SC2000	6.0	4.8	90	3.0	(4.6, 2.9)
Acuson Abbott Viewmate	6.0	1.0	90	2.3	(1.4, 2.3)
Z					

VII. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to extend their gratitude to Lea Melki, MS (Columbia University); Jad El Harake, (Columbia University); Pierre Nauleau, PhD (Columbia University); Rachel Weber (Columbia University), Andrew Tieu (Columbia University), Mati Amit (Biosense Webster), Keri Pallister (Abbott), and the Institute of Comparative Medicine at Columbia University for their support in the canine open-chest experiments; Salma Baksh, MD (Columbia University) and Kristin Pallister, MS (Abbott) for their support in the clinical pilot study and assistance with the Abbott hardware.

VIII. REFERENCES

[1] S. M. Al-Khatib *et al.*, “2017 AHA/ACC/HRS Guideline for Management of Patients With Ventricular Arrhythmias and the Prevention of Sudden Cardiac Death: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society,” *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.*, vol. 72, no. 14, pp. e91–e220, 02 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2017.10.054.

[2] H. Calkins *et al.*, “2017 HRS/EHRA/ECAS/APHS/SOLAECE expert consensus statement on catheter and surgical ablation of atrial

fibrillation,” *Heart Rhythm*, vol. 14, no. 10, pp. e275–e444, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2017.05.012.

[3] R. L. Page *et al.*, “2015 ACC/AHA/HRS Guideline for the Management of Adult Patients With Supraventricular Tachycardia: Executive Summary: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society,” *Circulation*, vol. 133, no. 14, pp. e471–505, Apr. 2016, doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000310.

[4] M. A. Miller *et al.*, “Acute electrical isolation is a necessary but insufficient endpoint for achieving durable PV isolation: the importance of closing the visual gap,” *Europace*, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 653–660, May 2012, doi: 10.1093/europace/eus048.

[5] S. P. Thomas, E. M. W. BSc, and D. L. Ross, “The Effect of a Residual Isthmus of Surviving Tissue on Conduction after Linear Ablation in Atrial Myocardium,” *J Interv Card Electrophysiol*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 273–281, Feb. 2000, doi: 10.1023/A:1009838201448.

[6] Ranjan Ravi *et al.*, “Gaps in the Ablation Line as a Potential Cause of Recovery From Electrical Isolation and Their Visualization Using MRI,” *Circulation: Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 279–286, Jun. 2011, doi: 10.1161/CIRCEP.110.960567.

[7] S. J. Melby *et al.*, “Atrial fibrillation propagates through gaps in ablation lines: Implications for ablative treatment of atrial fibrillation,” *Heart Rhythm*, vol. 5, no. 9, pp. 1296–1301, Sep. 2008, doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2008.06.009.

[8] A. Thiagalingam *et al.*, “Importance of catheter contact force during irrigated radiofrequency ablation: evaluation in a porcine ex vivo model using a force-sensing catheter,” *J. Cardiovasc. Electrophysiol.*, vol. 21, no. 7, pp. 806–811, Jul. 2010, doi: 10.1111/j.1540-8167.2009.01693.x.

[9] D. C. Shah, H. Lambert, H. Nakagawa, A. Langenkamp, N. Aeby, and G. Leo, “Area under the real-time contact force curve (force-time integral) predicts radiofrequency lesion size in an in vitro contractile model,” *J. Cardiovasc. Electrophysiol.*, vol. 21, no. 9, pp. 1038–1043, Sep. 2010, doi: 10.1111/j.1540-8167.2010.01750.x.

[10] P. M. Kistler *et al.*, “The Impact of CT Image Integration into an Electroanatomic Mapping System on Clinical Outcomes of Catheter Ablation of Atrial Fibrillation,” *Journal of Cardiovascular Electrophysiology*, vol. 17, no. 10, pp. 1093–1101, 2006, doi: 10.1111/j.1540-8167.2006.00594.x.

[11] E. K. Heist *et al.*, “Factors affecting error in integration of electroanatomic mapping with CT and MR imaging during catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation,” *J Interv Card Electrophysiol*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 21–27, Oct. 2006, doi: 10.1007/s10840-006-9060-2.

[12] C. Knackstedt, P. Schauerte, and P. Kirchhof, “Electroanatomic mapping systems in arrhythmias,” *Europace*, vol. 10, no. suppl_3, pp. iii28–iii34, Nov. 2008, doi: 10.1093/europace/eun225.

[13] S. Brooks *et al.*, “Insights into ablation of persistent atrial fibrillation: Lessons from 6-year clinical outcomes,” *Journal of Cardiovascular Electrophysiology*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 257–263, Feb. 2018, doi: 10.1111/jce.13401.

- 766 [14] C. Eitel, G. Hindricks, M. Grothoff, M. Gutberlet, and P.
767 Sommer, "Catheter Ablation Guided by Real-Time MRI,"
768 *Curr Cardiol Rep*, vol. 16, no. 8, p. 511, Jun. 2014, doi:
769 10.1007/s11886-014-0511-6.
- 770 [15] M. Wright *et al.*, "Characteristics of Radiofrequency
771 Catheter Ablation Lesion Formation in Real Time In
772 Vivo Using Near Field Ultrasound Imaging," *J Am Coll*
773 *Cardiol EP*, vol. 4, no. 8, pp. 1062–1072, Aug. 2018, doi:
774 10.1016/j.jacep.2018.04.002.
- 775 [16] S. Iskander-Rizk, P. Kruizinga, A. F. W. van der Steen,
776 and G. van Soest, "Spectroscopic photoacoustic imaging
777 of radiofrequency ablation in the left atrium," *Biomed*
778 *Opt Express*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 1309–1322, Feb. 2018, doi:
779 10.1364/BOE.9.001309.
- 780 [17] N. Dana, L. Di Biase, A. Natale, S. Emelianov, and R.
781 Bouchard, "In vitro photoacoustic visualization of
782 myocardial ablation lesions," *Heart Rhythm*, vol. 11, no.
783 1, pp. 150–157, Jan. 2014, doi:
784 10.1016/j.hrthm.2013.09.071.
- 785 [18] G. A. Pang, E. Bay, X. L. Deán-Ben, and D. Razansky,
786 "Three-dimensional optoacoustic monitoring of lesion
787 formation in real time during radiofrequency catheter
788 ablation," *J. Cardiovasc. Electrophysiol.*, vol. 26, no. 3,
789 pp. 339–345, Mar. 2015, doi: 10.1111/jce.12584.
- 790 [19] S. Iskander-Rizk *et al.*, "Real-time photoacoustic
791 assessment of radiofrequency ablation lesion formation in
792 the left atrium," *Photoacoustics*, vol. 16, p. 100150, Dec.
793 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.pacs.2019.100150.
- 794 [20] W. Kwiecinski *et al.*, "Quantitative evaluation of atrial
795 radio frequency ablation using intracardiac shear-wave
796 elastography," *Med Phys*, vol. 41, no. 11, p. 112901, Nov.
797 2014, doi: 10.1118/1.4896820.
- 798 [21] W. Kwiecinski *et al.*, "Cardiac shear-wave elastography
799 using a transesophageal transducer: application to the
800 mapping of thermal lesions in ultrasound transesophageal
801 cardiac ablation," *Phys Med Biol*, vol. 60, no. 20, pp.
802 7829–7846, Oct. 2015, doi: 10.1088/0031-
803 9155/60/20/7829.
- 804 [22] T. D. Bahnson *et al.*, "Feasibility of Near Real-Time
805 Lesion Assessment During Radiofrequency Catheter
806 Ablation in Humans Using Acoustic Radiation Force
807 Impulse Imaging," *Journal of Cardiovascular*
808 *Electrophysiology*, vol. 25, no. 12, pp. 1275–1283, 2014,
809 doi: 10.1111/jce.12514.
- 810 [23] J. Grondin, E. Wan, A. Gambhir, H. Garan, and E.
811 Konofagou, "Intracardiac myocardial elastography in
812 canines and humans in vivo," *IEEE Trans Ultrason*
813 *Ferroelectr Freq Control*, vol. 62, no. 2, pp. 337–349,
814 Feb. 2015, doi: 10.1109/TUFFC.2014.006784.
- 815 [24] E. Bunting, C. Papadacci, E. Wan, V. Sayseng, J.
816 Grondin, and E. E. Konofagou, "Cardiac Lesion Mapping
817 In Vivo Using Intracardiac Myocardial Elastography,"
818 *IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics, and*
819 *Frequency Control*, vol. 65, no. 1, pp. 14–20, Jan. 2018,
820 doi: 10.1109/TUFFC.2017.2768301.
- 821 [25] N. Milani-Nejad and P. M. L. Janssen, "Small and large
822 animal models in cardiac contraction research:
823 Advantages and disadvantages," *Pharmacology &*
824 *Therapeutics*, vol. 141, no. 3, pp. 235–249, Mar. 2014,
825 doi: 10.1016/j.pharmthera.2013.10.007.
- 826 [26] G. E. Billman, "In-Vivo Models of Arrhythmias: a
827 Canine Model of Sudden Cardiac Death," in *Practical*
828 *Methods in Cardiovascular Research*, S. Dhein, F. W.
829 Mohr, and M. Delmar, Eds. Berlin/Heidelberg: Springer-
830 Verlag, 2005, pp. 111–128.
- 831 [27] V. Sayseng, J. Grondin, and E. E. Konofagou,
832 "Optimization of Transmit Parameters in Cardiac Strain
833 Imaging With Full and Partial Aperture Coherent
834 Compounding," *IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonics,*
835 *Ferroelectrics, and Frequency Control*, vol. 65, no. 5, pp.
836 684–696, May 2018, doi: 10.1109/TUFFC.2018.2807765.
- 837 [28] Enriquez Andres *et al.*, "Use of Intracardiac
838 Echocardiography in Interventional Cardiology,"
839 *Circulation*, vol. 137, no. 21, pp. 2278–2294, May 2018,
840 doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.117.031343.
- 841 [29] W.-N. Lee, C. M. Ingrassia, S. D. Fung-Kee-Fung, K. D.
842 Costa, J. W. Holmes, and E. E. Konofagou, "Theoretical
843 Quality Assessment of Myocardial Elastography with In
844 Vivo Validation," *IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonics,*
845 *Ferroelectrics and Frequency Control*, vol. 54, no. 11,
846 pp. 2233–2245, 2007, doi: 10.1109/TUFFC.2007.528.
- 847 [30] F. Kallel and J. Ophir, "A Least-Squares Strain Estimator
848 for Elastography," *Ultrason Imaging*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp.
849 195–208, Jul. 1997, doi: 10.1177/016173469701900303.
- 850 [31] A. A. Taha and A. Hanbury, "Metrics for evaluating 3D
851 medical image segmentation: analysis, selection, and
852 tool," *BMC Med Imaging*, vol. 15, Aug. 2015, doi:
853 10.1186/s12880-015-0068-x.
- 854 [32] K. H. Zou *et al.*, "Statistical Validation of Image
855 Segmentation Quality Based on a Spatial Overlap Index,"
856 *Acad Radiol*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 178–189, Feb. 2004, doi:
857 10.1016/S1076-6332(03)00671-8.
- 858 [33] J. W. Holmes, T. K. Borg, and J. W. Covell, "Structure
859 and Mechanics of Healing Myocardial Infarcts," *Annual*
860 *Review of Biomedical Engineering*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 223–
861 253, 2005, doi:
862 10.1146/annurev.bioeng.7.060804.100453.
- 863 [34] "Monitoring stiffness changes in lesions after
864 radiofrequency ablation at different temperatures and
865 durations of ablation," *Ultrasound in Medicine &*
866 *Biology*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 415–422, Mar. 2005, doi:
867 10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2004.12.020.
- 868 [35] J. Grondin, V. Sayseng, and E. E. Konofagou, "Cardiac
869 Strain Imaging With Coherent Compounding of
870 Diverging Waves," *IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonics,*
871 *Ferroelectrics, and Frequency Control*, vol. 64, no. 8, pp.
872 1212–1222, Aug. 2017, doi:
873 10.1109/TUFFC.2017.2717792.
- 874 [36] V. Sayseng, J. Grondin, R. A. Weber, and E. E.
875 Konofagou, "A comparison between unfocused and
876 focused transmit strategies in cardiac strain imaging,"
877 *Phys. Med. Biol.*, 2019, doi: 10.1088/1361-6560/ab4afd.
- 878 [37] T. Dickfeld *et al.*, "Characterization of Radiofrequency
879 Ablation Lesions With Gadolinium-Enhanced
880 Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance Imaging," *J Am Coll*
881 *Cardiol*, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 370–378, Jan. 2006, doi:
882 10.1016/j.jacc.2005.07.070.
- 883 [38] S. A. Eyerly, M. Vejdani-Jahromi, D. M. Dumont, G. E.
884 Trahey, and P. D. Wolf, "The Evolution of Tissue
885 Stiffness at Radiofrequency Ablation Sites During Lesion

- 886 Formation and in the Peri-Ablation Period,” *J.*
887 *Cardiovasc. Electrophysiol.*, vol. 26, no. 9, pp. 1009–
888 1018, Sep. 2015, doi: 10.1111/jce.12709.
- 889 [39] S. A. Eyerly *et al.*, “Intracardiac acoustic radiation force
890 impulse imaging: a novel imaging method for
891 intraprocedural evaluation of radiofrequency ablation
892 lesions,” *Heart Rhythm*, vol. 9, no. 11, pp. 1855–1862,
893 Nov. 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2012.07.003.
- 894 [40] C. Ma and T. Varghese, “Comparison of cardiac
895 displacement and strain imaging using ultrasound
896 radiofrequency and envelope signals,” *Ultrasonics*, vol.
897 53, no. 3, pp. 782–792, Mar. 2013, doi:
898 10.1016/j.ultras.2012.11.005.
- 899 [41] R. G. P. Lopata, M. M. Nillesen, H. H. G. Hansen, I. H.
900 Gerrits, J. M. Thijssen, and C. L. de Korte, “Performance
901 Evaluation of Methods for Two-Dimensional
902 Displacement and Strain Estimation Using Ultrasound
903 Radio Frequency Data,” *Ultrasound in Medicine &*
904 *Biology*, vol. 35, no. 5, pp. 796–812, May 2009, doi:
905 10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2008.11.002.
- 906 [42] S. K. Alam and J. Ophir, “On the use of envelope and RF
907 signal decorrelation as tissue strain estimators,”
908 *Ultrasound in Medicine & Biology*, vol. 23, no. 9, pp.
909 1427–1433, 1997, doi: 10.1016/S0301-5629(97)00186-5.
- 910 [43] W. F. Walker and G. E. Trahey, “A fundamental limit on
911 delay estimation using partially correlated speckle
912 signals,” *IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonics,*
913 *Ferroelectrics and Frequency Control*, vol. 42, no. 2, pp.
914 301–308, Mar. 1995, doi: 10.1109/58.365243.
- 915 [44] H. Blessberger and T. Binder, “Two dimensional speckle
916 tracking echocardiography: basic principles,” *Heart*, vol.
917 96, no. 9, pp. 716–722, May 2010, doi:
918 10.1136/hrt.2007.141002.
- 919
920
921
922